

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,511	01/04/2002	Victor P. Laskorski	930025-2002	9064	
20999	7590 09/24/2003				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			THOMAS, AL	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	1/	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/039,511	LASKORSKI, VICTOR P.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander S. Thomas	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a name application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>5-10 and 12-1</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	4 would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-10 and 12-14</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 11.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		•			
10. Other:		needy S. Thomas			

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claim 1 raises new issues that would require further consideration.